The use of CPR feedback/prompt devices during training and CPR performance: A systematic review
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\textbf{Overview:} Does the use of CPR feedback/prompt devices by lay persons and healthcare providers, when compared to no device, improve CPR retention and real life performance?

\textbf{Conclusions:} There is good evidence to support the use of CPR feedback/prompt devices during CPR training. The use of these devices during clinical practice to improve CPR quality may be beneficial. Further studies are needed to see if these devices improve patient outcomes.